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Abstract – An analysis of buckling for thick anisotropic plates subjected to arbitrary loading is presented. The analysis employs the complex finite strip method which utilizes complex harmonic functions in the longitudinal direction, a cubic polynomial in the transverse direction and a parabolic distribution of the transverse shear strains through the thickness of the thick plate based on the higher-order shear deformation theory. The method is programmed to investigate local buckling of square and long thick plates subjected to compression bending and shear stresses. Examples of the accuracy of the method with an increasing number of strips are presented. The method is then applied to study the local instability of thick orthotropic plates under compression and shear with different boundary conditions. Local instability interaction between compression and shear, and bending and shear in thick orthotropic plates is investigated.
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1. INTRODUCTION

With the modern trend of employing layered composites in the aerospace and automotive industries, the prediction of local buckling of such structures is attracting great attention from many researchers. Several theoretical investigations have been presented on the buckling of anisotropic plates under combined loading. Zurieck [1] reported a complete review of the application of the layered composites.

The earliest general method for the local buckling of composite plates under arbitrary loading was the finite element method [2]. While the finite element method provides a general framework, it invariably results in problems which posses a large number of degrees of freedom, from which extraction of the buckling stress may be expensive. Vibration analysis of a thick plate with an interior cut-out using a quadratic element of eight nodes was investigated by Chang and Chang [3]. Local buckling of anisotropic plates has been studied by Noor [4], Stein [5] and Nemeth [6].

In the analysis of thick plates, the neglect of transverse shear strains could lead to an overestimation of the natural frequencies and critical buckling loads because of the low transverse shear moduli [7]. This difficulty was overcome by using a first order shear deformation theory in which constant shear strains through the plate thickness are considered by Reddy and Chandrashekhara [8] and [9]. Since the first order shear deformation theory does not account for the parabolic variation of transverse shear strain through the thickness, the second shear deformation theory was proposed by Sing et al. [10].

Although local buckling analyses can be performed for nearly any thick plate configuration using a general finite element method, the finite strip method is more attractive and economically feasible for prismatic plate assemblies because of the significant reduction in the buckling degrees of freedom [11]. Zeggane and Sridharan [12] presented an efficient formulation to predict the buckling behavior of long shear deformable laminated anisotropic plates. In their analysis, the effect of shear locking was eliminated by the use of higher Lagrangian polynomials. Akhras et al. [13] presented a finite strip method for the vibration and stability analyses of anisotropic laminated composite plates according to the higher order shear deformation

*Received by the editors November 13, 2002 and in final revised form August 24, 2003
**Corresponding author
theory.

The spline finite strip method, in which the longitudinal trigonometric series is replaced by a linear combination of B-spline functions and transverse polynomials, was employed to study buckling and vibration of rectangular composite laminated plates under combined loading by Daw and Wang [14] and [15] Wang and Daw [16]. Azhari et al. [17] studied local buckling of composite laminated plate assemblies using the spline finite strip method. Since in their analysis transverse shear strains were neglected, the critical buckling loads were overestimated. More recently, Saadatpour et al. [18] presented a numerical method for the analysis of general quadrilateral, moderately thick orthotropic plates having arbitrary boundary conditions. Their procedure is based on the application of the Rayleigh-Ritz method in conjunction with the Reissner-Mindlin thick plate theory.

In the present paper, the complex finite strip method developed by Plank and Wittrick [19] is extended for the analysis of very thick plates. The higher order shear deformation theory that accounts for a parabolic variation of the transverse shear strains throughout the thickness and zero transverse shear stresses on the surface of the plate is employed. The advantage of this approach over the formulations of Akhras et al. [13] is the ease with which it can handle shear. The application of the method is shown by examining a long, thick rectangular plate under compression and shear.

2. THEORY

a) General

The complex finite strip method for buckling analysis of thin-walled structures was originally developed by Plank and Wittrick [19]. Azhari and Bradford [20] fully formulated the stiffness and stability matrices for a strip using bubble functions for the case of elastic buckling analysis and thin plates. In this section, the relevant changes to include the higher order shear deformation theory for thick-plate analysis are presented. Figure 1 shows the geometry and prebuckling stresses, while Fig. 2 shows the system of displacement of a typical complex finite strip which forms part of a thick plate assembly.

![Fig.1 Prebuckling stresses on a strip](image1)

![Fig.2 Prebuckling system of displacements on a strip](image2)

b) Kinematics

The strip is subjected, on its edges, to a system of perturbation forces and displacements. The vectors of perturbation forces \( \mathbf{p} \) and corresponding displacements \( \mathbf{d} \) of the edges are defined as

\[
\mathbf{p} = \left\{ p_{x1}, p_{y1}, p_{z1}, m_{1}, T_{x1}, T_{y1}, p_{x3}, \psi_{y1}, p_{y2}, T_{y2}, p_{x2}, p_{y3}, \psi_{y2}, p_{z3}, T_{z3}, \psi_{z3}, i_{T_{x1}}, i_{T_{y1}}, i_{T_{y2}}, i_{T_{z3}}, i_{\psi_{y1}}, i_{\psi_{y2}}, i_{\psi_{z3}} \right\}
\]

\[
\mathbf{d} = \left\{ u_1, \psi_{x1}, w_1, \frac{\partial w}{\partial x}, \psi_{x1}, \psi_{y1}, u_2, \psi_{y2}, u_3, \psi_{y3}, w_3, \frac{\partial w}{\partial x}, \psi_{x3}, \psi_{y3}, \psi_{z3}, \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \right\}
\]
where the second subscripts denote the edge numbers, \( p_x, p_y \) and \( p_z \) are the forces in the \( x, y \) and \( z \) directions, respectively; \( m \) is the moment about \( y \). \( u, v \) and \( w \) are the displacements in the \( x, y \) and \( z \) directions, respectively; \( \psi_x \) and \( \psi_y \) are the rotations of the normal to the mid-plane about the \( y \) and \( x \) axes, respectively. The introduction of \( (i = \sqrt{-1} ) \) in the vectors \( p \) and \( d \) automatically incorporates a 90 degree phase difference between the \( u \) and \( v \) displacements.

The displacement field, which includes classical plate theory and accounts for the parabolic variation of transverse shear strain through the thickness of the plate, is assumed to be

\[
\begin{align*}
  u_o &= \text{Re}\{XJd}e^{i\eta}\}, \quad v_o = \text{Re}\{YJd}e^{i\eta}\} \quad \text{and} \quad w = \text{Re}\{ZJd}e^{i\eta}\} \\
  \psi_x &= \text{Re}\{R_xJd}e^{i\eta}\} \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_y = \text{Re}\{R_yJd}e^{i\eta}\} \\
\end{align*}
\]  

where \( \text{Re} \{ \} \) denotes the real part of the quantity inside the brackets; \( X, Y, Z, R_x \) and \( R_y \) are the interpolation matrices defined by Eqs. (5); \( J \) is a \( 16 \times 16 \) matrix defined by Eq. (6) and \( \eta = \pi y / \lambda \) in which \( \lambda \) is the buckling half-wavelength. It should be noted that when the boundary conditions along loaded edges are not simply supported, the series functions to satisfy the boundary conditions are used instead of \( \eta \).

\[
X = \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{1}{2} \xi^2 - 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 - \xi^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \xi (\xi + 1) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\]  

\[
Y = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & \frac{1}{2} \xi (\xi - 1) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 - \xi^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \xi (\xi + 1) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\]  

\[
Z = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & \frac{1}{4} (1 - \xi)^3 (2 + \xi) & \frac{b}{8} (1 - \xi)^2 (1 + \xi) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \xi (\xi + 1) & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\]  

\[
R_x = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \xi (\xi - 1) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 - \xi^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \xi (\xi + 1) & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\]  

\[
R_y = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \xi (\xi - 1) & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 - \xi^2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \xi (\xi + 1) & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\]  

in which \( \xi = 2 x / b \).

\[
J = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i & 1 & 1 & 1 & - i \end{bmatrix}
\]  

According to the higher-order shear deformation theory, the displacements at any point \((\xi, \eta, z)\) of a laminate are given by Reddy et al. [9]

\[
\begin{align*}
  u &= u_o + z \psi_x - \frac{4 z^3}{3 h^2} \left( \psi_x + \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} \right) \\
  v &= v_o + z \psi_y - \frac{4 z^3}{3 h^2} \left( \psi_y + \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} \right)
\end{align*}
\]  

It should be noted that the Hermitian cubic polynomials used as the interpolation function of \( w \) in the \( x \) direction, guarantee inter-element continuity for the transverse displacement \( w \) and for its first derivatives \( \partial w / \partial x \) and \( \partial w / \partial y \). The linear and nonlinear buckling strain vectors \( \varepsilon_L \) and \( \varepsilon_{NL} \) are given by

\[
\varepsilon_L = \left\{ \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial w}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial w}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial w}{\partial z}, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} \right\}^T
\]  

\[
\varepsilon_{NL} = \left\{ \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial w}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial w}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial w}{\partial z}, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} \right\}^T
\]
Using Eqs. (3), (4) and (7), the linear strain vector $\mathbf{\varepsilon}_L$ becomes

$$\mathbf{\varepsilon}_L = \text{Re} \left( \mathbf{\Gamma} \mathbf{J} d e^{i\eta} \right) \quad (9)$$

where $\mathbf{\Gamma}$ is a $5 \times 6$ matrix defined by

\[
\mathbf{\Gamma} = \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{2}{b} \left[ X' + \left( z - \frac{4z^3}{3h^2} \right) R_x - \frac{8z^3}{3h^2 b} Z' \right] \\
\frac{\pi}{\lambda} \left[ Y + \left( z - \frac{4z^3}{3h^2} \right) R_y \right] + \frac{i\pi}{\lambda} \left[ X + \left( z - \frac{4z^3}{3h^2} \right) R_x \right] - \frac{16z^3}{3h^2 b} Z' \\
\left( 1 - \frac{4z^2}{h^2} \right) R_y + \frac{m_i}{\lambda} \left( 1 - \frac{4z^2}{h^2} \right) Z \\
\left( 1 - \frac{4z^2}{h^2} \right) R_y + 2 \left( 1 - \frac{4z^2}{h^2} \right) Z'
\end{bmatrix}
\quad (10)
\]

### c) Stiffness equations

It is assumed that the laminate is manufactured from an orthotropic layer of preimpregnated unidirectional fibrous composite materials. Neglecting $\sigma_z$ for each layer, the stress-strain relations in the $(x,y,z)$ coordinate system may be written as

$$\mathbf{\sigma} = \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{\varepsilon}_L \quad (11)$$

The components of $\mathbf{Q}$ for each $k$-th laminate is discussed in Hinton and Owen [2]. The internal virtual work $\partial W_i$ in a wavelength $2\lambda$ of the strip due to the virtual displacement $\partial \mathbf{d}$ may be expressed as

$$\partial W_i = \frac{\lambda h}{2\pi} \int_{-1}^{1} \int_{-h}^{h} \mathbf{\varepsilon}_L^T \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{\varepsilon}_L \, d\xi \, d\eta \, dz \quad (12)$$

Substituting Eq. (9) into Eq. (12), the internal virtual work may be written in terms of displacements $d$ as

$$\partial W_i = \frac{\lambda h}{2\pi} \int_{-1}^{1} \int_{-h}^{h} \mathbf{Re} \left( \mathbf{d}^T \mathbf{J} \mathbf{\Gamma}_d e^{i\eta} \right) \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{Re} \left( \mathbf{\Gamma} \mathbf{J} \mathbf{d} e^{i\eta} \right) d\xi \, d\eta \, dz \quad (13)$$

Performing the integration with respect to $\eta$, and after some mathematical manipulation involving complex arithmetic, Eq. (13) becomes

$$\partial W_i = \lambda \mathbf{Re} \left( \mathbf{d}^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{d} \right) \quad (14)$$

where the bar denotes the complex conjugate and

$$\mathbf{A} = \frac{b}{2} \int_{-1}^{1} \int_{-h}^{h} \mathbf{\Gamma} \mathbf{Q} \mathbf{\Gamma} \, d\xi \, dz \quad (15)$$
During the virtual displacements, the basic membrane forces acting on the four edges of a rectangle of width \( b \) and length \( 2\lambda \) also work \( \delta W_m \), and this is given by

\[
\delta W_m = \delta \iint \left( \sigma_x \gamma_{\sigma} \right) dxdydz
\]  

On using the Eqs. (3, 4, 5, 7 & 8), and after some mathematical manipulation involving complex arithmetic, Eq. (16) becomes

\[
\delta W_m = \lambda \left( -i \sum_{r=1}^{6} \left( B_r + iC_r \right) \right) d
\]  

Details of the terms \( B_r \) and \( C_r \) are given in Kassaei [22].

Once the strip stiffness \( A \) and stability matrices \( \sum_{r=1}^{6} \left( B_r + iC_r \right) \) have been derived for each thick strip, they can be assembled into the global matrices by using equilibrium and compatibility along nodal lines. Finally, the solution for the critical stress is obtained by allowing the determinant, obtained by subtracting the global stiffness and stability matrices, to vanish.

### 3. NUMERICAL RESULTS

**a) General**

The semi-analytical complex finite strip method for thick plates, employing the higher order shear deformation theory described in the previous section, was programmed on a desktop workstation. In order to ascertain the validity and accuracy of the method, square simply supported laminated plates with length-to-thickness ratio equal to 5 have been analysed. The thickness \( h \) is composed of equal thickness layers oriented at \((0^\circ/90^\circ/0^\circ)\) and \((45^\circ/-45^\circ/45^\circ/-45^\circ)\), the material properties of each layer are \((E_1=40.0E_2; G_{12}=G_{31}=0.6E_2; G_{23}=0.5E_2; \theta_{12}=0.25)\) and \((E_1=40.0E_2; G_{12}=G_{23}=G_{31}=0.5E_2; \theta_{12}=0.25)\), respectively. The resulting dimensionless critical stresses are exhibited in Table 1 in comparison with the classical plate theory (CPT) based on the higher order shear deformation theory (HSDT) and the first order shear deformation theory (FSDT), and ordinary finite strip method (FSM) by Akhras \textit{et al.} [13]. It can be seen that the complex finite strip method yields an acceptable accuracy as compared to the other solutions. It should be noted that only one harmonic was used in the analysis (Akhras \textit{et al.} [13]).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>((0^\circ/90^\circ/0^\circ))</th>
<th>((45^\circ/-45^\circ/45^\circ/-45^\circ))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HSDT</td>
<td>11.008</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSDT</td>
<td>10.525</td>
<td>15.117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present</td>
<td>10.673</td>
<td>14.912</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**b) Long thick plate under compression**

The local buckling of isotropic and laminated thick plates with longitudinal edges simply supported (SS) and clamped (CC) was studied using the complex finite strip method. The width-to-thickness ratio \( b/h \) is equal to 5. Table 2 shows the local buckling coefficient of both isotropic and laminated thick plate whose thickness layers are oriented at \((45^\circ/-45^\circ)\). The wavelength at which the calculations were performed are those corresponding to minimum buckling stress and are shown in parentheses. These results indicate the accuracy which can be achieved by subdividing the plate into a relatively small number of strips.

**c) Long thick plate under shear**

The advantage of the present method over the formulation of Akhras \textit{et al.} [13] is the ease with which it can handle shear. The local buckling coefficients of thick isotropic and orthotropic plates with longitudinal...
edges (SS) and (CC) subjected to pure shear stresses are shown in Table 3. The wavelengths at which minimum local buckling coefficients are obtained are shown in parentheses. Again, it is possible to obtain results with acceptable accuracy by subdividing the plates into a few strips.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. Strips</th>
<th>Thick isotropic plate ((\lambda / b = 0.88))</th>
<th>Laminated plate (45°/-45°) ((\lambda / b = 0.60))</th>
<th>Thick isotropic plate ((\lambda / b = 0.52))</th>
<th>Laminated plate (45°/-45°) ((\lambda / b = 0.47))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.2534</td>
<td>9.0750</td>
<td>9.9547</td>
<td>64.4271</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.1295</td>
<td>4.3970</td>
<td>9.8856</td>
<td>10.9431</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.1238</td>
<td>4.2339</td>
<td>9.8806</td>
<td>10.5668</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.1230</td>
<td>4.1846</td>
<td>9.8791</td>
<td>10.4363</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.1227</td>
<td>4.1443</td>
<td>9.8783</td>
<td>10.3326</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>3.1226</td>
<td>4.1270</td>
<td>9.8782</td>
<td>10.2931</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.1226</td>
<td>4.1178</td>
<td>9.8781</td>
<td>10.2749</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. Strips</th>
<th>Thick isotropic plate ((\lambda / b = 1.09))</th>
<th>Laminated plate (45°/-45°) ((\lambda / b = 0.74))</th>
<th>Thick isotropic plate ((\lambda / b = 1.01))</th>
<th>Laminated plate (45°/-45°) ((\lambda / b = 0.74))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.0081</td>
<td>10.6156</td>
<td>13.7595</td>
<td>31.9860</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.8335</td>
<td>6.0354</td>
<td>10.9412</td>
<td>14.5636</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.6886</td>
<td>4.8779</td>
<td>10.6539</td>
<td>12.0956</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.6574</td>
<td>4.7184</td>
<td>10.5843</td>
<td>11.7452</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.6449</td>
<td>4.6369</td>
<td>10.5528</td>
<td>11.5754</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>3.6428</td>
<td>4.6111</td>
<td>10.5461</td>
<td>11.5304</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.6422</td>
<td>4.5989</td>
<td>10.5439</td>
<td>11.5127</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

d) Boundary conditions effects

By using the additional functions in the longitudinal direction [21], the procedure is deployed to investigate the effect of different boundary conditions on the local buckling of thick orthotropic plates whose loaded edges are either simply supported (SS), one end clamped and the other simply supported (CS), or one end clamped and the other guided (CG). The Variation of the local buckling coefficients \(k_a\) obtained from the equation

\[
k_a = \frac{\sigma_{cr}}{E_2} \left( \frac{b}{h} \right)^2
\]

against aspect ratio \(L/b\) for thick laminated plates whose longitudinal edges are SS, CC and CS are shown in Figs. 3, 4 and 5, respectively. It can be seen that the boundary conditions along the loaded edges substantially affect the value of \(k_a\) when \(L/b\) is less than 0.8, while the restraint of the loaded edges has little effect on the local buckling coefficient of long thick plates.

Figures 6 to 8 illustrate the variation of the shear local buckling \(k_s\) with aspect ratio for thick plates under pure shear whose longitudinal edges are SS, CC and CS, respectively. Three boundary conditions along the loaded edges have been considered, namely SS, CS and CG. Again, the local buckling coefficient is insensitive to large values of the aspect ratio. In all Figs. 3-8 the thickness \(h\) is composed of equal thickness layers oriented at \(0°/90°/0°\) and the length-to-thickness ratio is equal to 5.
The variation of local buckling coefficient $k_s$ against dimensionless buckling half-wavelength $\lambda / b$ for a long orthotropic plate under uniform compression with the different length-to-thickness ratio is shown in Fig. 9, when thickness layers are oriented at $(45^\circ/-45^\circ/45^\circ/-45^\circ)$, and in Fig. 10 for the case when thickness layers are oriented at $(45^\circ/-45^\circ/-45^\circ/45^\circ)$. A plot of the local buckling coefficient $k_s$ for a long orthotropic plate under uniform shear with the different length-to-thickness ratio when thickness layers are oriented at $(45^\circ/-45^\circ/45^\circ/-45^\circ)$ is also shown in Fig. 11.
It can be seen that the curves are sensitive to the length-to-thickness ratio \( b/h \). For all cases, the curves exhibit the same characteristics, namely two limbs, the first has a minimum value of \( \lambda/b \) between 0.4 and 0.8. As the wavelength increases, the curves rise to a peak and beyond the peak, the local buckling coefficient decreases with increasing half-wavelength. As the curves show, the half-wavelength in which the minimum of the local buckling coefficients occurred increased to \( \lambda/b \).

**f) Local buckling interaction**

The interaction curve for local buckling of thick orthotropic plate whose length-to-thickness ratio is 5 when thickness layers are oriented at \( (45^\circ/-30^\circ) \) under combined shear and longitudinal compression has been investigated. Figure 12 shows the buckling stresses \( \sigma_{Lcr} \) and \( \tau_{cr} \) normalized with respect to the values \( \sigma_{cro} \) and \( \tau_{cro} \) in pure compression and shear only respectively. This interaction curve was obtained by fixing the ratio between the compression and shear stress, and factoring this monotonically by a load factor in the analysis. Critical values of the local buckling load factor were computed over a range of half-wavelength and the minimum value at the local nadir was obtained.

The interaction curve for local buckling under combined shear and bending for a plate whose length-to-thickness ratio is 10 is given in Fig. 13. This curve was obtained by the method described above. It can be seen for Figs. 12 and 13 that the interaction between shear and compression is close to parabolic, while the interaction between shear and bending is close to circle, as is assumed in design for thin plates [23] and [24].
4. CONCLUSIONS

It has been shown that the complex finite strip method could be extended successfully to the analysis of thick plates. The method uses complex harmonic functions in the longitudinal direction, Hermitian cubic polynomials in the transverse direction and a parabolic distribution of the transverse shear strains through the thickness of the plate based on the higher-order shear deformation theory. As a result, slopes are continuous across the nodal lines between the finite strips. This method can predict accurate local buckling stress of very thick plates. The advantage of the method is the ease with which it can handle shear. Critical values of wavelength as a fraction of the plate width have been computed. Agreement with results quoted in the literature has been found for square laminates. The method has been used to calculate local buckling coefficients of thick composite long plates under compression and shear. Simply supported, clamped, simply supported-clamped thick orthotropic plates under compression and shear with different boundary conditions along the loaded ends have been studied. It was shown that although the restraint of the loaded edges in short thick plates has a considerable effect on the critical stresses, it has little effect on the buckling stress of long thick plates. The local buckling interaction between compression and shear was shown to be close to parabolic, and between pure bending and shear was shown to be close to circle.

NOMENCLATURE

\[ A \] strip stiffness matrix \\
\[ b \] plate width \\
\[ B, \text{ and } C_r \] strip stability matrices \\
\[ d \] vector of perturbation displacement \\
\[ h \] plate thickness \\
\[ i \] \(\sqrt{-1}\) \\
\[ k_a \] local buckling coefficient in compression \\
\[ k_i \] local buckling coefficient in shear \\
\[ L \] plate length \\
\[ p \] vector of perturbation forces \\
\[ Q \] laminate property \\
\[ R, R_f \] interpolation matrices \\
\[ u, v \] membrane displacements \\
\[ w \] flexural displacement \\
\[ x, y, z \] Cartesian axes in Fig.1 \\
\[ X, Y, Z \] interpolation matrices \\
\[ \Gamma \] strain matrix \\
\[ \varepsilon_L \text{ and } \varepsilon_{NL} \] linear and nonlinear strain \\
\[ \lambda \] local buckling half-wavelength \\
\[ \zeta \text{ and } \eta \] non-dimensional coordinates \\
\[ \sigma_{cr} \] critical stress for compression \\
\[ \tau_{cr} \] critical stress for shear \\
\[ \psi_u, \psi_f \] rotations normal to the mid-plane
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